

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/14/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/681,718	05/24/2001	Brian L. Beifus	03DV-7119	7800	
23465 75	90 05/14/2003			•	
JOHN S. BEULICK			EXAMINER -		
C/O ARMSTRONG TEASDALE, LLP ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE SUITE 2600 ST LOUIS, MO 63102-2740			DONELS,	DONELS, JEFFREY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2837		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:		Application No.	Applicant(s)	7		
Office Action Summary		09/681,718	BEIFUS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey Donels	2837			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 F	ehruany 2003				
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.				
3)			nrosecution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are allowed.	y .				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>7-10</u> is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.				
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10) 🔲 🏾	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b) objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🏻	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	·				
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedure. The translation of the foreign language procedure.	• •				
Attachment		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	rry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/681,718

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding Claims 11, 16, and 18, the functions of the various recited 'input circuits,' 'output circuits,' and 'microcontroller' are indefinite and not clear. They are incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. Applicant's arguments have been considered, but are not deemed persuasive, as an element of a claim cannot be considered to particularly point out Applicant's invention if the structural and functional relationship between the elements is not clear. Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 09/681,718

Art Unit: 2837

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Day et al.

See especially Fig. 2, item 35.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Espen.

See especially the Abstract.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Edelson.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the recitation of bi-directional communication) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Claims 7-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 11-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,718

Art Unit: 2837

Claims 1-5 are considered allowable, as the recitation of bi-directional functionality is not shown by the prior art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 703-308-3115. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour days, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-308-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Jeffrey Donels Primary Examiner Art Unit 2837